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We find bounds for the polynomials $p_{n}(x)$ orthogona: with respect to asymmetric Freud weights of the form $w(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$, where $Q$ is an even degree polynomial with positive leading coefficient, by utilizing asymptotics for the recursion coefficients $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ and an approximate differential equation satisfied by $p_{n}(x)$ C. 1990 Academic Press, Ine.

## I. Introduction and Notation

Let $Q(x)$ be a polynomial of even degree with positive leading coefficient and let $w(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$ be a weight on the real line. The orthonormal polynomials $p_{n}(w ; x)=\gamma_{n} x^{n}+\cdots$, where $i_{n}>0$, are defined by the relation

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} p_{m}(w ; x) p_{n}(w ; x) w(x) d x=\delta_{m, n}
$$

Every system of orthogonal polynomials $\left\{p_{n}(d x ; x)\right\}_{n=0}^{=}$satisfies a threeterm recurrence equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x p_{n}(d x ; x)=a_{n+1} p_{n+1}(d x ; x)+b_{n} p_{n}(d x ; x)+a_{n} p_{n-1}(d x ; x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}=a_{n}(d x), b_{n}=b_{n}(d x)$. In this paper we will find bounds for $p_{n}$ over the real line. Our technique is to generate an approximate differential equation and then derive the estimates using this equation and asymptotics for $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$. This method is an extension of the model developed by Bonan and Clark $[5,6]$ to handle Freud weights of the form $w_{m}(x)=\exp \left(-x^{m}\right)$,

[^0]For the symmetric weights $w_{m}(x)$ the recurrence coefficient $b_{n}=0$. For the asymmetric weights that we will investigate, $b_{n}$ is non-zero and introduces a great deal of complexity to the analysis. We extend Bonan and Clark's work in two ways. First, we pass to the asymmetric case, and second, we eliminate an error term in the approximate differential equation. Preliminary versions of our results were announced in [2] without proofs. For further background see the surveys of Lubinsky [9] and Nevai [14], and also Lubinsky and Saff [11] and Van Assche [20]; for specific examples of these methods applied to the Hermite polynomials and the symmetric Freud weights $w_{m}(x)$, see [5,6]. See also [16, 19] for general reference.

When the meaning is clear, we write $p_{n}=p_{n}(d x ; x)=\gamma_{n}(d x) x^{n}+\cdots$, also, we use $c, c_{0}, c_{1}$, etc., to denote positive constants independent of $n$ and $x$, not necessarily keeping the same value from line to line. The notation $f \sim g$ will indicate that there exist positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
c_{1} g(x) \leqslant|f(x)| \leqslant c_{2} g(x)
$$

for the appropriate range of $x$.

## II. The Main Results

First, the approximate differential equation.

Theorem I. Let $p_{n}(w ; x)$ be the orthogonal polynomials associated with $w(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$ where $Q(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} d_{k} x^{k} / k, m$ is an even integer, and $d_{m}>0$, and let $a_{n}=a_{n}(w)$ be the recurrence coefficient in (1.1). Then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(x):=p_{n}(w ; x) \sqrt{w(x) / A_{n}(x)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}(x)+\phi_{n}(x) z(x)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{n}(x) & :=A_{n}^{2}(x)\left(1-\left(\frac{x-c_{b}}{2 c_{a} n^{1 / m}}\right)^{2}\right)+g_{n}(x)  \tag{2.3}\\
A_{n}(x) & :=a_{n} \int_{\mathfrak{R}} p_{n}^{2}(w ; t) \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)}{t-x} w(t) d t \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{a}:=\left[\frac{d_{m}}{m}\binom{m-1}{m / 2-1}\right]^{-1 \cdot m} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{b}:=-\frac{d_{m-1}}{(m-1) d_{m}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error function $g_{n}$ has the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{c}{n}\left(1+\left(\frac{x-c_{b}}{2 c_{a} n^{1: m}}\right)^{2}\right) A_{n}^{2}(x) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. The constants $c_{a}$ and $c_{b}$ of (2.5), given by the Freud conjecture, are the limits of $a_{n} / n^{1 / m}$ and $b_{n}$ as $n$ approaches infinity. They are from the expansions given in [3, Theorem 5]. See also [12].

With the approximate differential equation in hand we can find bounds for $p_{n}(x)$.

Theorem II. Let $p_{n}(w ; x)$ be the orthonormal polynomials associated with $w(x)$ as above. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for $\left|x-c_{b}\right|<2 c_{a} n^{1 / m}$ and for $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{2}(w ; x) w(x) \leqslant \frac{C}{\sqrt{\left(2 c_{a} n^{1 / m}\right)^{2}-\left(x-c_{b}\right)^{2}}} \tag{2,7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{a}$ and $c_{b}$ are the constants of (2.5).
We can now state the upper and lower bounds for $p_{n}(x)$ on the real line.
Theorem III. Let $p_{n}(n ; x)$ be as above. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in \mathfrak{\Re}} p_{n}^{2}(w ; x) w(x) \sim n^{1.3-1 ; m} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. The General Differential Equation

Shohat [18] found that the orthogonal polynomials associated with exponential weights having the form $w(x)=(1 / A(x)) \exp \left(\int(B(x) / A(x)) a x\right)$, for fixed polynomials $A>0$ and $B$, satisfy a second order differential equation. Asymptotic expressions for the recurrence coefficients $a_{n}(w)$ and $b_{n}(w)$ have allowed analysis of these differential equations to produce estimates for $p_{n}(w ; x)$.

In 1981 Bonan observed that the Freud polynomials form a generalized Appell sequence (see [7]); it is from this observation and the recurrence that we can easily generate a differential equation for $p_{n}(w ; x)$. This method of obtaining the differential equation is essentially due to Shohat.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\left\{p_{n}(w ; x)\right\}$ be a system of orthogonal polynomials that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}(x)=A_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(x)-B_{n}(x) p_{n}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for certain polynomials $A_{n}(x)$ and $B_{n}(x)$ of degree fixed and independent of $n$. Then $p_{n}(x)$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+M(x) p_{n}^{\prime}(x)+N(x) p_{n}(x)=0, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x):=B_{n-1}(x)+B_{n}(x)-\frac{x-b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} A_{n-1}(x)-\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{A_{n}(x)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
N(x):= & A_{n-1}(x)\left(\frac{a_{n}}{a_{n-1}} A_{n}(x)-\frac{x-b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} B_{n}(x)\right) \\
& +B_{n-1}(x) B_{n}(x)-\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{A_{n}(x)} B_{n}(x)+B_{n}^{\prime}(x) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We differentiate (3.1) to obtain $p_{n}^{\prime \prime}=A_{n}^{\prime} p_{n-1}+A_{n} p_{n-1}^{\prime}-$ $B_{n}^{\prime} p_{n}-B_{n} p_{n}^{\prime}$, then apply (3.1) to replace $p_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)$. Now use the recurrence (1.1) to replace the $p_{n-2}(x)$ terms and (3.1) to eliminate $p_{n-1}(x)$ terms to obtain the result.

Only for small degrees have $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ been calculated explicitly (cf. [14, pp. 130-136]). For example, for $m=2$ (see, e.g., [8, p. 49] or [19, Sect. 5.5]), $Q(x)=x^{2}$ gives

$$
A_{n}(x)=2 a_{n}=\sqrt{2 n}
$$

and

$$
B_{n}(x)=0
$$

When $m=4[1,14]$, then $Q(x)=x^{4} / 4+q_{3}\left(x^{3} / 3\right)+q_{2}\left(x^{2} / 2\right)+q_{1} x$ and we have

$$
A_{n}(x)=a_{n}\left(x^{2}+\left(q_{3}+b_{n}\right) x+\left(a_{n}^{2}+a_{n+1}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}+b_{n} q_{3}+q_{2}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
B_{n}(x)=a_{n}^{2}\left(x+\left(b_{n-1}+b_{n}+q_{3}\right)\right)
$$

For $m=6$ [17], when $Q(x)=x^{6} ; 6$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}(x)= & a_{n}\left(x^{4}+\left(a_{n}^{2}+a_{n+1}^{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(a_{n+1}^{2}\left(a_{n+2}^{2}+a_{n+1}^{2}+a_{n}^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+a_{n}^{2}\left(a_{n+1}^{2}+a_{n}^{2}+a_{n-1}^{2}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
B_{n}(x)=a_{n}^{2}\left(x^{3}+\left(a_{n+1}^{2}+a_{n}^{2}+a_{n-1}^{2}\right) x\right) .
$$

Transforming the differential equation of Lemma 3.1 obtains
Theorem 3.2. Let $p_{n}(u ; x)$ satisfy. (3.1) and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(x):=p_{n}(w ; x) \sqrt{w_{n}(x) / A_{n}(x)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
w_{n}(x):=\exp \left(\int\left(M+A_{n}^{\prime} ; A_{n}\right) d x\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}(x)+\phi_{n}(x) z(x)=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is defined $b y$ (3.3), $N$ by (3.4), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(x):=N(x)-\frac{1}{2} M^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{4} M^{2}(x) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We apply the standard transformation to eliminate the first order term from (3.2) to generate (3.6) (see, e.g., [19, Sect. 1.8]).

## IV. An Approximate Differential Equation for $p_{n}(w ; x)$

In 1984 Nevai [15] used estimates for $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ to asymptotically solve the differential equation for the polynomials orthogonal with respect to $w(x)=\exp \left(-x^{4}\right)$; subsequently, Sheen [17] and Bauldry [1] handled the cases $w(x)=\exp \left(-x^{6}\right)$ and $w(x)=\exp \left(-x^{4}+\pi_{3}(x)\right), \pi_{3}$ an arbitrary cubic polynomial, respectively. The expansions for $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ are found in

Theorem 4.1 (Bauldry, Máté, and Nevai [3, p. 223]). Let $a_{n}(n)$ and $b_{n}(w)$ be the recursion coefficients of (1.1) for $w(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$ where $Q(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} d_{k} x^{k} / k, m$ is an even integer, and $d_{m}>0$. Then there exist constants $\eta_{i . k}, i=1,2$, and $k=1,2, \ldots$ such that for any $N>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(w) n^{-1: m}=c_{a}+\sum_{k=1}^{\Lambda} \eta_{i . k} n^{-2 k \cdot m}+\sigma\left(n^{-2 \cdot v m}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)=c_{b}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \eta_{2, k} n^{-2 k / m}+o\left(n^{-2 N / m}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{a}$ and $c_{b}$ are the constants of (2.5).
Bonan and Clark [6] developed an approximate differential equation using the expansions for $a_{n}$ to successfully analyze the even weight $w(x)=$ $\exp \left(-x^{2 m}\right)$; here we extend their technique to the asymmetric case.

We begin with the Fourier expansion of $p_{n}^{\prime}(x)$.

Lemma 4.2 (cf. $[6,13]$ ). The polynomials $p_{n}(w ; x)$ satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}(w ; x)=A_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(w ; x)-B_{n}(x) p_{n}(w ; x) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x):=a_{n} \int_{\mathfrak{R}} p_{n}^{2}(w ; t) \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)}{t-x} w(t) d t \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(x):=a_{n} \int_{\mathfrak{R}} p_{n-1}(w ; t) p_{n}(w ; t) \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)}{t-x} w(t) d t \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Write $p_{n}^{\prime}$ in a Fourier expansion in terms of the kernel $K_{n}(t, x)=$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{k}(t) p_{k}(x)$ as

$$
p_{n}^{\prime}(w ; x)=\int_{\Re} K_{n}(t, x) p_{n}^{\prime}(w ; t) w(t) d t
$$

Now integrate by parts and use the orthogonality of $p_{n}(t)$ to $K_{n}(t, x)$ to obtain

$$
p_{n}^{\prime}(w ; x)=\int_{\Re} K_{n}(t, x) p_{n}(w ; t)\left(Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)\right) w(t) d t
$$

Last, we apply the Christoffel-Darboux identity (cf. [8, p. 24]) to finish the derivation.

This completes the preparations for the
Proof (Theorem I). The proof is in three parts; first we generate the differential equation, then it is shown that $w_{n}(x)=w(x)$, and last, we develop the bounds for $g_{n}(x)$.

1. The differential equation follows directly from Theorem 3.2 and the previous lemma with the deninitions

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{n}(x):=\exp \left(-Q(x)+h_{n}(x)\right) \\
h_{n}(x):=Q(x)+\int\left(M(x)+\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{A_{n}(x)}\right) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
g_{n}(x):=N(x)-\frac{1}{2} M^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{4} M^{2}(x)-A_{n}^{2}(x)\left(1-\left(\frac{x-c_{b}}{2 c_{a} n^{1} m}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

where $M$ and $N$ are the functions defined by (3.3) and (3.4).
II (cf. [13]). The error function $h_{n}(x)$ is eliminated by considering the expression $M+A_{n}^{\prime} / A_{n}$ from the definition above: i.e,

$$
M(x)+A_{n}^{\prime}(x) / A_{n}(x)=B_{n-1}(x)+B_{n}(x)-\frac{x-b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} A_{n-1}(x)
$$

Using the definitions (4.4) and (4.5), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(x)+ & A_{n}^{\prime}(x) / A_{n}(x) \\
= & \int_{\Re}\left(a_{n-1} p_{n-1}(t) p_{n-2}(t)+a_{n} p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(t)-\left(x-b_{n-1}\right) p_{n-1}^{2}(t)\right) \\
& \times \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)}{t-x} u(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The three-term recurrence (1.1) gives

$$
=\int_{\mathfrak{R}} p_{n-1}(t)\left((t-x) p_{n-1}(t) \mathrm{j} \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)}{t-x} w(t) d t\right.
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(x)+A_{n}^{\prime}(x) / A_{n}(x) & =\int_{\mathfrak{R}} p_{n-1}^{2}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) w(t) d t-Q^{\prime}(x) \int_{\mathfrak{B}} p_{n-1}^{2}(t) w(t) d t \\
& =-Q^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $h_{n}(x) \equiv 0$.
Remark. We are grateful to H. N. Mhaskar for suggesting the above technique which removes the restriction that $Q$ be a polynomial that appeared in an earlier version of this manuscript.
III. The last step is to find bounds for $g_{n}(x)$; we do this by substituting the expressions for $M$ and $N$ into the definition of $g_{n}$ to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n}(x)= & A_{n}\left(\frac{a_{n}}{a_{n-1}} A_{n-1}-A_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(\frac{x-c_{b}}{c_{a} n^{1 / m}}\right)^{2} A_{n}^{2}-\left(\frac{x-b_{n}-1}{a_{n-1}}\right)^{2} A_{n-1}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{A_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-b_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} A_{n-1}\left(B_{n-1}+B_{n}-\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}}{A_{n}}+\frac{A_{n-1}^{\prime}}{A_{n-1}}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(B_{n}^{\prime}-B_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(B_{n-1}+B_{n}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(3 B_{n}+B_{n-1}\right) \frac{A_{n}^{\prime}}{A_{n}}-\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{A_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{A_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Q$ is a polynomial, we may find $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ explicitly; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x)=a_{n} d_{m} x^{m-2}+a_{n}\left(b_{n} d_{m}+d_{m-1}\right) x^{m-3}+\cdots \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(x)=a_{n}^{2} d_{m} x^{m-3}+a_{n}^{2}\left(\left(b_{n-1}+b_{n}\right) d_{m}+d_{m-1}\right) x^{m-4}+\cdots \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that, after using asymptotics for $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ from (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$
\left|A_{n}-A_{n-1}\right| \leqslant \frac{c}{n}\left|A_{n}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|B_{n}-B_{n-1}\right| \leqslant \frac{c}{n}\left|B_{n}\right|
$$

Also note that because $2 p_{n-1}(t) p_{n}(t) \leqslant p_{n-1}^{2}(t)+p_{n}^{2}(t)$, then

$$
\left|B_{n}\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{c}{2 n}\right)\left|A_{n}\right|
$$

Substituting asymptotics for $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ and using the expressions above in $g_{n}$, we arrive at the desired estimate.

## V. Bounds for $p_{n}(w ; x)$

The next step in our analysis is to generate the bounds for the polynomials $p_{n}$ by using the approximate differential equation. First we need a technical lemma; it's stated in a form for arbitrary systems of orthogonal polynomials.

Lemma $5.1 \quad\left[8\right.$, Sect. 1.04, p. 23]. Let $a_{n}=a_{n}(d x)$ be the recursion coefficient of $(1.1)$, let $x_{n, n}<\cdots<x_{k, n}<\cdots<x_{1, n}$ be the zeros of $p_{n}(d x ; x)$ and
let $i_{k, n}=\lambda_{k, n}(d x)>0$ be the Cotes numbers of the Gaussian Quadrature for $d \alpha$. Then for $n=1,2, \ldots$, and $k=1,2, \ldots, n$,

$$
a_{n}^{-1}=p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}\right) \dot{i}_{k, n}
$$

Remark. Combining (4.3) evaluated at $x_{k, n}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, with (5,1) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(x_{k, n}\right)=\frac{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right)}{p_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}\right)}=a_{n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{k, n}\right) \lambda_{k-n}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in turn gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(x_{k, n}\right)>0 . \tag{5,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This brings us to the main results of our paper.
Proof (Theorem II). The proof is in three parts following the model of Bonan and Clark [6]. First we use Sturm's Comparison Theorem to develop an inequality for $\left|x-x_{k, n}\right|$. The second step is to give an estimate for $z^{2}(x)$ by a concavity argument. The last stage is to apply the definition of $z(x)$ to obtain the result.

Remark. In order to simplify the exposition, we will assume $c_{b}=0$, this is equivalent to a translation to eliminate the $x^{m-1}$ term from $Q$.
I. Let $|x|<2 c_{a} n^{1 . m}$. Consider the differential equation $z_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\phi_{n}^{*}(t) z(x)=0 \quad$ where $\quad \phi_{n}^{*}(t):=c_{n} A_{n}^{2}(t)\left(1-t_{i}^{2} 4 c_{a}^{2} n^{2 m}\right) \quad$ fo: $|x|<|t|<2 c_{a} n^{1 m}$; and let $x_{k}=k \pi \sqrt{\phi_{n}^{*}(t)}, k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, be the zeros of $z_{i}$. From Sturm's Comparison Theorem and the definitions of $\phi_{n}, \dot{\phi}_{n}^{*}$, and $g_{n}$, we have the zeros of $z$ and $z_{t}$ interlace. Hence, for some zero $x_{k, i}$ of $p_{n}$ we have

$$
\left|x-x_{k, n}\right| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\phi_{n}^{*}(t)}} .
$$

Since $t$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
\left|x-x_{k, n}\right| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\phi_{n}^{*}(x)}} .
$$

II. Since $\phi_{n}>0$, Eq. (2.2) implies that $|z|$ is concave between each pair of consecutive zeros. Hence (cf. [6]),

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left|z(x)\left(x-x_{k, n}\right)\right| \leqslant \int_{x, n}^{x}|z(t)| d t
$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\frac{1}{2} z(x)\left(x-x_{k, n}\right)\right\}^{2} & \leqslant \int_{x_{k, n}}^{x} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(t) w(t)}{\left(t-x_{k, n}\right)^{2} A_{n}(x)} \int_{x_{k, n}}^{x}\left(t-x_{k, n}\right)^{2} d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{A_{n}\left(x_{k, n} \int_{x_{k, n}}^{x}\right.} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(t) w(t)}{\left(t-x_{k, n}\right)^{2}} d t \int_{x_{k, n}}^{x}\left(t-x_{k, n}\right)^{2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

We extend the first integral to ( $-\infty,+\infty$ ) and evaluate both to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{1}{2} z(x)\left(x-x_{k, n}\right)\right\}^{2} \leqslant \frac{c}{A_{n}\left(x_{k, n}\right)} \lambda_{k, n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{k, n}\right)\left(x-x_{k, n}\right)^{3} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5.2) and (5.4) now give

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}(x) \leqslant \frac{c}{\sqrt{a_{n}^{2} \phi_{n}^{*}(x)}} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. Our last step is to apply the definition of $z(x)$ to the inequality above to see that

$$
p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x) \leqslant \frac{c}{\sqrt{a_{n}^{2} \phi_{n}^{*}(x) / A_{n}^{2}(x)}} .
$$

The result follows when the radicand is simplified to

$$
p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x) \leqslant \frac{c}{\sqrt{4 a_{n}^{2}-x^{2}}}
$$

and asymptotic expressions are substituted.
This result is closely related to Theorem A(ii) of [10]; however, the method of the proof is significantly different. We are now ready to proceed to the proof of Theorem III. The idea of the proof is essentially the same as that used by Bonan and Clark [6]; however, the asymmetry complicates the calculations significantly. Use of new asymptotics for $x_{1 n}$ from [4] simplifies finding the upper estimate.
Proof (Theorem III). The upper bound follows from Theorem II along with the observation that $z$ decreases for large $x$ and an integral estimate for $z(x)$ for $x$ near $x_{1 n}$ derived from $z(x)=\iint z^{\prime \prime} d s d t$. The lower bound is found using the identity (cf. [8, Sect. 1.3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int_{\mathfrak{R}}\left(\frac{p_{n}(x)}{x-x_{1 n}}\right)^{2} \frac{w(x)}{\lambda_{1 n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1 n}\right)} d x \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Bonan's observation that a significant portion of this integral occurs away from $x_{1 n}$ together with an estimate for the kernel $K_{n}(x)$ for $x$ near $x_{1 n}$.

## I. The Upper Bound

When $|x| \leqslant x_{1 n}$, we use Theorem II to obtain the bound. For $x_{1 n} \leqslant|x| \leqslant 2 c_{a} n^{\text {lim }}$, we recall (5.5) with $k=1$ and (5.2) to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{2}(x) & \leqslant c \frac{\lambda_{1 n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1 n}\right)}{A\left(x_{1 n}\right)}\left|x-x_{1 n}\right| \\
& \leqslant c a_{n}^{-1}\left|x-x_{1 n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $x$ by $2 c_{a} n^{1: m}$ and using the definition of $z(x)$ gives

$$
p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x) \leqslant c \frac{A_{n}\left(2 c_{a} n^{1 / m}\right)}{a_{n}}\left|2 c_{a} n^{1 \cdot m}-x_{1 n}\right| .
$$

We use asymptotics for $a_{n}$ from (4.1), for $x_{\text {in }}$ from [4, Theorem ]], and $A_{n}$ 's expansion (4.6) to obtain

$$
p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x) \leqslant c\left(n^{1 / m}\right)^{m-2} n^{-2 ; 3}
$$

which yields the desired bound. Since we do not know the largest zero of $\phi_{n}(x)$, i.e., the exact point above which $z(x)$ is always concave down, we estimate $z(x)$ for $x$ around $2 c_{a} n^{1: m}$ as follows. Let $\left|x-2 c_{a} n^{1: m}\right| \leqslant c n^{-1+1: m}$. For some $t_{0}$ such that $\left|t-t_{0}\right| \leqslant c n^{-23+1 / m}$ and $z^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z(x)-z\left(2 c_{a} n^{1 / m}\right)\right| & \leqslant\left(1+\frac{c}{n}\right) \int_{2 c_{a n^{1} \cdot m}^{x}}^{x} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \phi_{n}^{*}(s)|z(s)| d s d t \\
& \leqslant c \int_{2 c_{n} n^{1} ; m}^{x} \int_{t_{0}}^{i} A_{n}^{2}(s)\left(1-\left(\frac{s}{2 c_{a} n^{1: m}}\right)^{2}\right)|z(s)| d s d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{n}(x) \sim a_{n} x^{m-2}$ and $1-\left(s / 2 c_{a} n^{\mathrm{t}: m}\right)^{2} \sim n^{-2 / 3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z(x)-z\left(2 c_{a} n^{1: m}\right)\right| \leqslant c n^{2-2 i m} n^{-23} \int_{2 c_{a} n^{1} m}^{x} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|z(s)| d s d t \\
& \quad \leqslant c n^{4 ; 3-2: m} \int_{2 c_{a} n^{1, m}}^{x}\left(\int_{1_{0}}^{t} d s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}^{2}(s) w(s) d s\right)^{1: 2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\left|z(x)-z\left(2 c_{a} n^{1 / m}\right)\right| \leqslant c n^{4 \cdot 3-2: m}\left|t-t_{0}\right|^{1 / 2}\left|x-2 c_{a} n^{1: m}\right|
$$

giving the required bound. For large $|x|$, we observe that $z(x)$ is decreasing to finish the derivation.

## II. The Lower Bound

Set $\Delta=\left\{x:\left|x-x_{1 n}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon n^{1 / 3-1 / m}\right\}$ and let $K_{n}(x)=K_{n}(x, x)$. We use the inequality

$$
p^{2}(x) \leqslant K_{n}(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{2}(t) w(t) d t
$$

for $p(x)$ a polynomial of degree less than $n$ (cf. [18, Sect. 3.1]) with $p(x)=$ $p_{n}(x) /\left(x-x_{1 n}\right)$ to obtain

$$
\int_{\Delta}\left(\frac{p_{n}(x)}{x-x_{1 n}}\right)^{2} \frac{w(x)}{\lambda_{1 n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1 n}\right)} d x \leqslant \int_{\Delta} K_{n}(t) w(t) d t
$$

which is

$$
\leqslant 2 \varepsilon n^{1 / 3-1 / m} \max _{x \in A}\left(K_{n}(x) w(x)\right) .
$$

The same procedure used in [6] gives the estimate needed for $K_{n}(x)$ over $\Delta$,

$$
\max _{x \in A}\left(K_{n}(x) w(x)\right) \leqslant c n^{-(1: 3-1 ; m)} .
$$

Then, with $1 / 4 \mathrm{c}$, we have

$$
\int_{\Delta}\left(\frac{p_{n}(x)}{x-x_{1 n}}\right)^{2} \frac{w(x)}{i_{1 n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1 n}\right)} d x \leqslant \frac{1}{2},
$$

which yields

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \int_{\mathfrak{R} / A}\left(\frac{p_{n}(x)}{x-x_{1 n}}\right)^{2} \frac{w(x)}{\lambda_{1 n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1 n}\right)} d x
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leqslant c\left(\varepsilon n^{1 / 3-1 / m}\right)^{-1} \max _{x \in d}\left(p_{n}^{2}(x) w(x)\right),
$$

from which the result follows.
In a sequel we intend to sharpen the analysis of the error function $g_{n}$ of the differential equation. It appears to be possible to develop asymptotics for $p_{n}(w ; x)$ from the differential equation given improvements to this error term.
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